	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/709,674	SOTOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Irene Marx	1651
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Irene Marx</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Randall Jackson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 December 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1-3		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	, ICDAL NATUDE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Claim 1 was indicated as allowable with minor editorial change form in the absence of concentration and functional limitations	es. Claims 3 and 4 were indicated	as not allowable in their present
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

٠,